EXHIBIT 6

Case 3:09-cv-00620-REP Document 804-24 Filed 09/19/11 Page 2 of 6 PageID# 26276

Weaver, Ph.D, Alfred Vol. II 7/21/2010

Page 143

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

RICHMOND DIVISION

ePLUS, INC.

Plaintiff,

vs. CASE NO.

3:09cv620

LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.,

Defendant.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ALFRED WEAVER, Ph.D., WITNESS

Day 2 of 2

July 21, 2010

9:18 a.m.

Taken at:

BOAR'S HEAD INN

Blue Ridge Room

200 Ednam Drive

Charlottesville, Virginia 22903

REPORTED BY: Lisa M. Blair, RPR

Pro-Systems Court Reporting

612.823.2100

Weaver, Ph.D, Alfred Vol. II 7/21/2010

Page 216 Page 218 1 MS. STOLL-DeBELL: Do you want to change the patent. 1 2 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Six minutes left. tape while he's looking. 3 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at Okay. We can get through this pretty 4 quickly. 4 approximately 11:25 a.m. 5 5 (Whereupon, a recess was taken). You probably can answer this question 6 without looking at claim 1, but it's my understanding THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record at 7 7 approximately 11:27 a.m. Counsel may proceed. claim 1 requires an order list. It's the last element 8 at the bottom of column 23, a means for generating an 8 BY MS. STOLL-DeBELL: 9 9 So you were looking for the place where order list? 10 A. 10 you talk about how RQ meets the generating an order That's right. 11 And I believe it's your opinion that the 11 list element. And it looks like you are now on page 12 shopping cart in RSS is the order list? 12 66 of your 172 claim chart? 13 13 That's right. A. That's correct. A. 14 Q. Okay. 14 Now, the RQ module doesn't have a 15 15 shopping cart; do you agree with that? And so, you're correct that the order A. list is a shopping cart, and --16 16 17 Do you -- is it your opinion that RQ has 17 MS. ALBERT: Can you just play the Q. 18 18 an order list? demonstration? 19 A. Yes. 19 Q. Okay. So -- yeah. Before I do that, so 20 Q. What's the order list in RQ? 20 is there a shopping cart in RQ? Not by that name. 21 Well, we saw the -- when we did the Dell 21 A. A. 22 22 Dimension, there were two items returned. Q. Okay. Is there an order list in RQ? I don't have an opinion on that. 23 23 Uh-huh (affirmative). 24 A. Four items and then two Items. So that's 24 MR. HVASS: Do you want to continue? I'm 25 part of the list. And it can be changed. 25 sorry. Page 217 Page 219 Okay. So the first search returned four 1 MS. STOLL-DeBELL: I'm deep in intense 2 items for Dell, right? 2 thought. 3 A. 3 Q. Okay. So you don't have an opinion about 4 And the second search returned two items whether the RQ module, as used by itself without RSS, Q. 5 for Dell dimension. 5 meets the means for generating an order list element? 6 I don't have an opinion on that. 6 A. Right. 7 7 That search result is the order list? So you're not going to say it infringes? Q. Q. 8 8 The search result is the hit list. But Correct. A. when you -- when you select your items from the hit 9 Q. Which is just as good as saying it list, then those selected items are the order list doesn't infringe? 10 that then later becomes a requisition and a purchase 11 A. Yes. 11 12 So is your opinion with respect to 12 order. 13 MS. STOLL-DeBELL: Jeff, can you just go 13 infringement of claim 1 of the '172 only with respect 14 back? 14 to RSS with regard to S3 software? 15 15 A. Yes; the shopping cart. MR. HVASS: I'm bringing it up. MS. STOLL-DeBELL: He's on it. Okay. In the demonstrations that you did 16 16 Q. 17 Q. While he's doing that, I'm trying to find 17 in RSS, the first thing that we saw was profile page, 18 where in your report you say that -- I recall a 18 which I believe is the requisition header; does that requisition, but that screen in RQ constitutes an 19 sound right to you? 19 20 order list. It was my understanding you called the 20 A. That sounds right. 21 21 shopping cart order list from RSS? And then you can click shopping and go to 22 A. I did. 22 -- what -- category searches or search catalog or that 23 Q. Okay. Yeah, that's all I recall from 23 type of thing -your --24 24 A. Yes. 25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Three minutes. 25 -- to find things to put into a

20 (Pages 216 to 219)

Weaver, Ph.D, Alfred Vol. II 7/21/2010

Page 220 Page 222 include at least two product catalogs?" And he said requisition? 1 2 Yes. Shopping cart. A. 3 3 Which will end up in a requisition? Q. So he -- it's his opinion that you need 4 Right. Which is an order list, which 4 to search two catalogs simultaneously for claim 1, 5 5 then becomes a requisition, which becomes a purchase which is the opposite of your opinion; isn't that 6 6 order. right? 7 7 And it's your opinion that it becomes a A. Yes. 8 requisition when you click check out? 8 Q. Who's right? 9 9 That's what submits it to the requisition The court said that -- when it comes to module. 10 the court, we want to be very accurate, don't we? 10 11 11 Q. Okay. To create a requisition? O. We do. 12 12 So let's find --Correct. A. A. I think it was page 41 that talks about 13 Okay. I'm going to move on to another 13 14 topic. So I don't know if you want to take a short 14 that, but Ms. Albert can maybe check that and make 15 15 sure I'm right. break now? 16 16 This would be a good time. Thank you. MS. ALBERT: I don't know that the description at page 41 deals with claim 1 of the '516. 17 MS. STOLL-DeBELL: Okay. Sure. 17 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at 18 MS. STOLL-DeBELL: I don't think it does. 19 approximately 11:30 a.m. 19 My understanding is that when the court said it didn't 20 20 (Whereupon, a recess was taken). require two catalogs to be searched simultaneously, he 21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record at 21 was referring to claim 3 of the '683, and not the 22 22 11:42 a.m. Counsel may proceed. claims of the '516. 23 23 BY MS. STOLL-DeBELL: MS. ALBERT: I think you're --24 Q. Okay. So Dr. Weaver, I think I asked you 24 MS. STOLL-DeBELL: Does that sound right? 25 earlier about whether some of the claims of the '516 25 MS. ALBERT: -- referring to claim 3, as Page 221 Page 223 patent require that two catalogs be searched 1 well as claims 26 and 28 and 29. 2 simultaneously? 2 But I don't dispute that this 3 A. Yes. 3 particular page, 41, of the Markman does not deal with 4 And you said that they do not. We were 4 claim 1 of the '516 patent. Q. 5 looking at claim 1 specifically. 5 And this is the one where the court is I'm going to hand you what has been 6 6 saying the correct construction must allow for 7 marked as Exhibit 12. 7 searching only one catalog, rather than searching two 8 (Exhibit Number 12 was marked for 8 or more at the same time? 9 identification) 9 It does say that. A. 10 Okay. And I -- I don't see anywhere else 10 MS. STOLL-DeBELL: Ms. Albert, you have copies right there. in the order where he says that same rule applies to 11 11 any of the claims in '516; do you? 12 This is the deposition transcript from 12 13 the deposition of Brooks Hilliard, who is an expert 13 I'd have to read the whole thing to know. 14 for ePlus as well; and ask you to go to page 175, line 14 Okay. Well, let's set that aside. I 11 of this transcript. And at line 11 I asked him the 15 think your opinion generally is that the UNSPSC code 15 question: "Do you agree that the '516 patent claims functionality of Lawson's S3 software causes it to 16 16 require that the user select at least two product 17 17 meet the converting and cross-reference table elements 18 catalogs to be searched simultaneously?" And then he 18 of the asserted claims; is that right? 19 says he needs to refresh his memory. And he answers 19 That's correct. 20 at line 22, "Well, it requires that be able to search 20 MS. STOLL-DeBELL: Can you switch over to 21 a subset of the catalogs -- of the collection of the demo? catalogs, and be able to do that, those catalogs and 22 22 MR. HVASS: Sure. 23 the subset, be able to search them simultaneously. 23 MS. STOLL-DeBELL: I'm going to pull up --And then I asked him at line 2 of page 176, "And the it's actually a screen from the live demo that shows 24 25 25 subset, is it your opinion that the subset needed to an Item Master record.

21 (Pages 220 to 223)

Weaver, Ph.D, Alfred Vol. II 7/21/2010

Page 230 Page 228 1 1 I'll just be sure. Q. Are the Item Master tables non-catalog 2 2 MS. ALBERT: Just to clarify, you mean databases? 3 within the '516 patent? 3 As far as I know, all the items that are 4 MS. STOLL-DeBELL: Yes; although I don't 4 in the Item Master come originally from vendor 5 think the other ones call for a cross-reference table 5 catalogs. 6 6 expressly, do they? Q. How do you know that? 7 7 MS. ALBERT: I think you would have to look A. Reading the depositions of the people who 8 at the courts claim construction. 8 have been using the software and were talking about 9 9 A. Okay. So with a quick read, 21 and 29 of uploading vendor catalogs and using Lawson's utility the '516, the last element of each has this 10 program to do that. 10 11 11 determination system in it. O. And that was just for Lawson's customers? 12 Q. Okay. So let's look at the 12 Multiple customers. A. cross-reference table in claim 29, which reads -- it's 13 13 Q. But not all? the very last claim in this patent. It reads, "a 14 I didn't count. 14 A. 15 cross-reference table linking a vendor item catalog 15 So you don't know whether all of Lawson's Q. number from said vendor catalog with an item catalog 16 customers were deposed? 16 17 number from said predetermined third party." 17 I don't know. It seems improbable. A. 18 It does, doesn't it? 18 My question is what -- what is the cross Q. 19 reference table in Lawson's software that you say 19 A. Yes, it does. 20 meets this claim element? 20 So Lawson's RSS software allows searching 21 The UNSPSC codes. 21 by UNSPSC code; is that right? 22 22 So those are codes that can be assigned O. A. Correct. to items, but what is the actual table? I couldn't 23 23 Q. That's the search category function, understand what you were saying the table is from your right? 25 25 report. A. Right. Page 229 Page 231 1 The collection of assigned UNSPSC codes Can you search for UNSPSC code within RQ? 1 2 constitutes a table. 2 I don't think so. A. 3 3 O. And those are within Item Master? So would you -- is it your opinion that 4 The UNSPSC codes? 4 the RQ module does not infringe any of the claims that A. 5 5 The assigned UNSPSC codes. require converting staff or a cross-reference table? 6 Well, they're in one of those tables. I MS. ALBERT: Object to the form of the 6 7 7 didn't look up which table it's in, but it's obviously question. The RQ module in and of itself does not 8 in the database. constitute one of the accused systems. 9 Okay. And this is the Item Master 9 Okay. So when I say RQ, I mean the database for a particular record, and it does show the 10 non-RSS system. So it was the base modules, I think 10 UNSPSC codes? you called them, or base system yesterday; is that 11 11 12 12 what we said it was going to be, IC, RQ and PO? 13 So assuming that it is Item Master where 13 A. Yes. Q. 14 14 these codes are stored -- and I'll represent that it Q. We called that the base system? 15 15 Base system. A. 16 Okay. So let me ask that again. Is it 16 A. Okay. That's reasonable. 17 Okay. Are you then saying Item Master is 17 your opinion that the base system does not infringe Q. 18 the cross-reference table, if it has items that have 18 any of the claims that require converting or a 19 UNSPSC codes assigned to them? cross-reference table? 19 20 The portion of Item Master that is the 20 And you're representing that there is no 21 21 UNSPSC codes for all the items in the Item Master is UNSPSC codes? 22 the UNSPSC table, the cross-reference table. 22 MS. ALBERT: I wouldn't take her 23 Q. Is Item Master a catalog database? 23 representation for it. It is a database containing items from 24 Well, I don't --24 A. Q. 25 25 catalogs. Okay.

23 (Pages 228 to 231)

Weaver, Ph.D, Alfred Vol. II 7/21/2010

6

15

2

9

12

13

14

15

16

25

Page 232

Q. No. Let's back up, because I don't think I even said that, although I think I asked you if you can search in RQ by UNSPSC, and you said I don't think so. And I tend to agree with that. I don't think you can, either.

And so, based upon that fact, do you come to the conclusion that the base system -- which is RQ, IC and PO -- does not infringe any of the claims that require converting cross-reference tables?

- I'll have to take a look at what I wrote about conversions.
- 12 Are you in your report? It's around O. paragraph 174 I think you start talking about it. 13
 - (Witness perusing document).
- 15 Q. What section are you reading through now?
- Section 5, beginning on page 74. 16 A.
- 17 Okay. Now what are you looking for? O.
- 18 Appendix 2. A.
- 19 Q. Which is your claim chart for the '516?
- 20 Correct. A.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

- 21 Q. Are you looking for which claims?
- The last element of claim 29 on page 323. 22
- 23 (Witness perusing document).
- 24 Q. 323.
- 25 Okay. A.

Page 233

- My question is: Are you asserting infringement of these converting a cross-reference asserted claims against the base system that does not
- involve RSS? A. No.
 - That was easy. Q.

Do you agree that Lawson's S3 software does not automatically replace one item for another?

MS. ALBERT: Vague and ambiguous as to the meaning of the term automatically.

- It provides the mechanisms by which the user or potentially a software program could make the conversion.
- In the example that you gave, you were in 15 RSS, and you added an item to the shopping cart, and then I think you went back to the search results and added something else, and then you took the first item back out?
 - Which example are we talking about?
- 20 Well, I think you did it in a couple of Q. examples, didn't you? 21
- 22 Yeah. Which one are we talking about? A.
- 23 We could go through it. Which ones do 24
- you remember that you did? 25
 - The search for a computer case, a

Page 234

- 1 Kensington case, and found that one, but it was out of 2 stock.
- 3 Q. Okay. And I'm more -- I think that was 4 Punchout, right? I'm pretty sure that was Punchout, 5 through Dell's Punchout site?
 - Yes. That's right. A.
- 7 Q. Okay. Let me ask you at a more basic 8 level. Is it your opinion that if you select an item 9 from a search result in RSS and add it to the card, and then add a second item and remove the first, that that is converting an item from one source to an item
- 11 12 from a different source?
- 13 A. Well, that's too simplistic an 14 explanation.
 - Okay. Why? Q.
- 16 Because you didn't mention the UNSPSC A. 17 codes.
- 18 Okay. So I should have said if you do a 19 search -- a category search in RSS -- to find all 20 items that meet a specific UNSPSC code, and then you 21 add one of those search results into the shopping
- 22 cart, and then you add a second search result into the 23 shopping cart and remove the first search result, that
 - that means that it's converting an item of one source
- to an item of a different source?

1

A. Still not completely accurate.

Q. Why?

3 A. Because you didn't mention the UNSPSC 4 code for the second item.

- 5 Oh, okay. My question maybe wasn't 6 totally clear. I was -- you were taking items from 7 the same search result, two items from the same search 8 result, which was searched by a UNSPSC code?
 - Okay. That wasn't clear. A.
- 10 Okay. Okay. So now that it is clear, 11 what's the answer to the question?

MS. ALBERT: Can you just reframe the entire question so it's clear on the record?

- Q. Sure. If you are doing a search in RSS -- a category search -- to find all items that match a specific UNSPSC code or category, and you select the first item from your search results of that
- 17 18 search and add it to the cart, then you select a
- 19 second item in that same search result list, add it to
- 20 the cart, remove the first item that you had added to the cart -- remove it from the cart -- does that meet 21
- 22 the claim term converting an item of one source to an
- 23 item of a different source?
- 24 A. Yes.
 - You might just pull up the claims -- or

(Pages 232 to 235)

612.823.2100

Page 235